

## REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-22, 27-29, 31 and 36-38 are pending in the application. In the present response, no claims are canceled or amended. A continued examination of the present application is respectfully requested.

Applicant expresses his appreciation for the availability and courtesy of the Examiner during the interview of August 28, 2009. Applicant also thanks the Examiner for the Interview Summary dated September 1, 2009 and agrees with its contents.

With regard to the rejection under 35 USC 101, Applicant understands that the Examiner is willing to withdraw this rejection based on the latest guidelines of the USPTO and on the discussion of August 28. Therefore, no additional arguments to overcome this rejection are presented herein.

With regard to the rejection under 35 USC 112, Applicant confirms that a "pseudorandom distribution" indicates a distribution, which simulates a random distribution as closely as possible but which inherently may not be truly random because produced by a machine that was programmed by humans.

With regard to the rejection under 35 USC 103(a), Applicant understands that this rejection is considered overcome, subject to a final search by the Examiner. According, a Request for Continued Examination is submitted herein.

It is believed that all objections and rejections in the application have been addressed and that the application is now in condition for allowance. A notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Dated: September 14, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/Franco A. Serafini/  
Franco A. Serafini, Registration No. 52,207  
Attorney for Applicant  
Tel. (858) 456-2898

THEMIS LAW  
7660 Fay Ave Ste H-535  
La Jolla, CA 92037